

REMARKS

Claims 1, 8 – 11 are amended. Claims 3 – 4 are cancelled. Claims 1 – 2 and 5 – 11 remain pending. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner required restriction to one of the following species:

- I. Figure 4
- II. Figure 5
- III. Figure 6
- IV. Figure 7
- V Figure 8


Applicant elects species II, that is, Figure 5 directed towards an amplifier circuit having a power amplifier and a charge pump, the power amplifier and the charge circuit formed on a single IC. If the Examiner determines that Applicant has presumed incorrectly as to which claims the Examiner intended to include in Species II, clarification is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, he is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned counsel at (650) 838-4311 to arrange for such a conference. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment in fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2207. This paper is being filed in duplicate.

Date: October 20, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Brian R. Coleman
Reg. No. 39,145

Correspondence Address:

Customer No. 22918
Perkins Coie LLP
P.O. Box 2168
Menlo Park, CA 94026-2168
(650) 838-4300